

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Partial equivalence of the correct and incorrect versions of the Darwin term

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 3021 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/21/13/024)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 16:36

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

COMMENT

Partial equivalence of the correct and incorrect versions of the Darwin term

W L Kennedy

Department of Physics, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Received 29 March 1988

Abstract. The persistent appearance and use of an incorrect form of the Darwin term appearing in the non-relativistic approximation of the Dirac equation justifies an examination of when its use leads to no errors, as well as an examination of the reasons for its appearance.

The non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation for a particle of charge q in an electromagnetic field produces a Schrödinger-Pauli wave equation for the large components of the Dirac wavefunction. To first order in v/c the usual magnetic and electric moment coupling terms appear, while to second order in v/c, not only is there the spin-orbit coupling term, H_{LS} , but also at second order the Darwin term.

In a static electric potential $\phi(\mathbf{r})$, the result obtained by Darwin, $H_{\text{DD}} = -2F(\nabla\phi)\cdot\nabla$, where $F = q\hbar^2/8m^2c^2$, is known to be incorrect although it and its incorrect derivation are quite often repeated. The correct result is $H_{\text{CD}} = F(\nabla^2\phi)$. To show the partial equivalence referred to, we consider matrix elements of these operators between two well behaved two-component wavefunctions. $U(\mathbf{r})$ and $V(\mathbf{r})$. Integrating the matrix element for H_{DD} by parts and setting the surface term to zero, we obtain

$$\langle U|H_{\rm DD}|V\rangle = -2F \int d\tau U^{\dagger}[(\nabla\phi) \cdot \nabla]V$$

$$= -2F \int dS \,\boldsymbol{n} \cdot [U^{\dagger}(\nabla\phi)V] + 2F \int d\tau \,\nabla \cdot [U^{\dagger}(\nabla\phi)V]$$

$$= 2F \int d\tau \, U^{\dagger}(\nabla^{2}\phi)V + 2F \int d\tau (\nabla U^{\dagger}) \cdot (\nabla\phi)V$$

$$= 2\langle U|H_{\rm CD}|V\rangle - \langle V|H_{\rm DD}|U\rangle^{*}.$$
(1)

Thus

$$\langle U|H_{\rm CD}|V\rangle = \frac{1}{2}[\langle U|H_{\rm DD}|V\rangle + \langle V|H_{\rm DD}|U\rangle^*]$$
$$= \langle U|H_{\rm DD} + H_{\rm DD}^*|V\rangle$$
(2)

and therefore

$$H_{\rm CD} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{\rm DD} + H_{\rm DD}^{\dagger}). \tag{3}$$

This result is a symptom of the fact that H_{DD} is incorrect because it is not Hermitian; furthermore the non-Hermitian nature of H_{DD} results from a faulty approximation

0305-4470/88/133021+03\$02.50 © 1988 IOP Publishing Ltd 3021

procedure which replaces a non-Hermitian term in the total Hamiltonian by a Hermitian approximation to it, while leaving a compensating non-Hermitian term unchanged. We briefly discuss this point below. Although the result (3) shows that H_{CD} is the Hermitian part of H_{DD} , we note that matrix elements of H_{CD} are not the real parts of the corresponding matrix elements of H_{DD} , so that in general there is no easy way to escape from errors due to the use of the incorrect form.

However, setting V = U in (2) we get the following relation:

$$\langle U | H_{\rm CD} | U \rangle = [\langle U | H_{\rm DD} | U \rangle + cc]$$
$$= Rl \langle U | H_{\rm DD} | U \rangle$$
(4)

which is between expectation values if we assume that U is normalised as a twocomponent function. Since $H_{\rm DD}$ is real (in the algebraic sense) but not Hermitian, the expectation value $\langle U|H_{\rm DD}|U\rangle$ is real if the function U has real components, when $\langle U|H_{\rm CD}|U\rangle = \langle U|H_{\rm DD}|U\rangle$. An instance of this occurs in treating the effect of the Darwin term as a perturbation to hydrogen-like atoms with central static Coulomb potential. Use of the incorrect version of the Darwin term gives (correctly) not only that the first-order energy perturbation is zero for other than S states, but also the correct result for S states.

Returning now to a discussion of the derivation of the Darwin term, the crucial approximating stage in obtaining a relativistic approximation to the Dirac equation occurs at the equation

$$[K - p^2/2m + (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p})K(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p})/(4m^2c^2)]U = 0$$
(5)

in which U consists of the two large components of the Dirac wavefunction, p is the operator for kinetic and total momentum (equal in zero magnetic field), σ is the vector of the Pauli matrices and $K \equiv E - mc^2 - q\phi$ can be regarded as an equivalent of the non-relativistic kinetic energy operator since E is the relativistic total energy eigenvalue. In equation (5) each term in the bracketed operator expression is Hermitian. Expansion of the third operator term which is of order v^2/c^2 with respect to each of the first two terms uses the result

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) = \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{p}^2 - q(\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\phi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{p} - iq\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\phi}) \times \boldsymbol{p}$$
(6)

in which the last term is Hermitian and yields the spin-orbit coupling term in standard form if $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ becomes a central potential. Each of the first two terms in (6) is non-Hermitian but, of course, their sum is still Hermitian. Neglecting the third term in (6) we get

$$(K - p^2/2m)U \cong 0. \tag{7}$$

Since the functions U span the manifold of all the allowed solutions, then over this manifold we have

$$K \cong p^2/2m. \tag{8}$$

If one uses this approximation directly in (6) the non-Hermitian term Kp^2 is converted to $p^4/2m$ which is Hermitian and one thereby arrives at a time-independent Schrödinger-Pauli equation with non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Such an embarrassment is easily avoided by making the approximation (8) only in the symmetric part of the term Kp^2 of (6). Thus

$$Kp^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(Kp^{2} + p^{2}K) + \frac{1}{2}(Kp^{2} - p^{2}K)$$

$$= p^{4}/2m + \frac{1}{2}(Kp^{2} - p^{2}K)$$

$$= p^{4}/2m + \frac{1}{2}q(p^{2}\phi - \phi p^{2})$$

$$= p^{4}/2m - \frac{1}{2}q\hbar^{2}(\nabla^{2}\phi) + q(p\phi) \cdot p.$$
(9)

Substitution of (9) into (5) gives

$$(E - mc^{2})U = (q\phi + p^{2}/2m - p^{4}/8m^{3}c^{2} + H_{\rm CD} + H_{\rm LS})U$$
(10)

in which all the operator terms are Hermitian and in which the term $H_{\rm CD}$ appears as the correct form for the Darwin term. We see from (9) that the effect of the correct approximation is to directly exchange the non-Hermitian $H_{\rm DD}$ for the correct Hermitian Darwin term

$$H_{\rm CD} = -\frac{1}{2} (q \hbar^2 / 8 m^2 c^2) (\nabla^2 \phi).$$
(11)

Reference

Darwin C G 1928 Proc. R. Soc. A 118 654-80